# Optimality of refraction strategies for Lévy processes

#### Daniel Hernández-Hernández Research Center for Mathematics (CIMAT) Mexico

joint work with J.L. Pérez and K. Yamazaki

28 de marzo de 2016

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

# Outline

Introduction: Motivation and framework

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

- Control problem
- First order conditions
- Limit results
- Singular control problem
- Numerical results

# Motivation

Suppose that we have a Brownian motion W in one dimension, and we wish to control it, using controls  $l_t$  with values in the set  $[0, \delta]$ .

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

# Motivation

Suppose that we have a Brownian motion W in one dimension, and we wish to control it, using controls  $l_t$  with values in the set  $[0, \delta]$ .

The dynamics of the controlled process  $\boldsymbol{U}$  are described as

$$U_t = W_t + \int_0^t k(l_s)ds, \quad U_0 = x,$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

for some function k.

The controller has some objective in mind

## continuation...

The controller has as objective to minimize the functional

$$v(x,l_{\cdot}) = \mathbb{E}\left[\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-qt} h(U_{t},l_{t}) dt\right],$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

for a given running cost function h.

## continuation...

The controller has as objective to minimize the functional

$$v(x, l_{\cdot}) = \mathbb{E}\left[\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-qt} h(U_t, l_t) dt\right],$$

for a given running cost function h.

 $\underline{\mathsf{It} \text{ is well known}}$  that this problem is related with the HJB equation

$$\frac{1}{2}v_{xx}(x) + \min_{l \in [0,\delta]} \{k(l)v_x(x) + h(x,l)\} - qv(x) = 0.$$

# Verification...

If a smooth solution of the HJB equation can be found, we can propose as a candidate for optimal control

$$l(x) = argmin_{l \in [0,\delta]} \{k(l)v_x(x) + h(x,l)\}.$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

# Verification...

If a smooth solution of the HJB equation can be found, we can propose as a candidate for optimal control

$$l(x) = argmin_{l \in [0,\delta]} \{k(l)v_x(x) + h(x,l)\}.$$

 Problem: Under which conditions on the data of this optimization problem is it possible to obtain a simple solution.

• That is, on  $h, k \delta, \ldots$ 

# Singular control

Suppose that we change now the dynamics for

$$U_t = W_t + \int_{[0,t]} dl_s, \quad U_0 = x,$$

where  $l_s$  is a nondecreasing, left continuous process with  $l_0 = 0$ , with an analogous structure in the cost function.

- For this problem we have some how a "simple solution", finding a solution of a free boundary problem, and reflecting the process in the boundary.
- Question: Is it possible to have something analogous for the above problem.

# Controlling a Lévy processes

Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$  be a probability space hosting a *spectrally* negative Lévy process  $X = \{X_t; t \ge 0\}$ .

The *Laplace exponent* of X is given by

$$\begin{split} \psi(\theta) &:= \log \mathbb{E}[\mathrm{e}^{\theta X_1}] \\ &= \gamma \theta + \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \theta^2 + \int_{(-\infty,0)} (\mathrm{e}^{\theta z} - 1 - \theta z \mathbf{1}_{\{z > -1\}}) \nu(\mathrm{d}z), \ \theta \ge 0. \end{split}$$

Here  $\nu$  is a Lévy measure with support in  $(-\infty, 0)$  and satisfying the integrability condition

$$\int_{(-\infty,0)} (1 \wedge z^2) \nu(\mathrm{d}z) < \infty.$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

# Remarks on X

- ▶ It has paths of bounded variation if and only if  $\sigma = 0$  and  $\int_{(-1,0)} |z| \nu(dz) < \infty$
- In this case, we write the Laplace exponent as

$$\psi(\theta) = \tilde{\gamma}\theta + \int_{(-\infty,0)} (e^{\theta z} - 1)\nu(dz), \quad \theta \ge 0,$$

with 
$$\tilde{\gamma} := \gamma - \int_{(-1,0)} z \,\nu(\mathrm{d}z).$$

- ► We exclude the case in which X is the negative of a subordinator (i.e., X has monotone paths a.s.). This assumption implies that \$\tilde{\gamma}\$ > 0 when X is of bounded variation.
- Let  $\mathbb{F} := \{\mathcal{F}_t; t \ge 0\}$  be the filtration generated by X.

# Formulation of the control problem

Fix  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\delta > 0$  and a measurable function  $h : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ .

# Formulation of the control problem

- Fix  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\delta > 0$  and a measurable function  $h : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ .
- Define  $\Pi_{\delta}$  as the set of *absolutely continuous strategies*  $\pi$  given by adapted processes  $L_t^{\pi} = \int_0^t \ell_s^{\pi} ds$ ,  $t \ge 0$ , with  $\ell^{\pi}$  restricted to take values in  $[0, \delta]$  uniformly in time.

# Formulation of the control problem

- Fix  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\delta > 0$  and a measurable function  $h : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ .
- Define  $\Pi_{\delta}$  as the set of *absolutely continuous strategies*  $\pi$  given by adapted processes  $L_t^{\pi} = \int_0^t \ell_s^{\pi} ds$ ,  $t \ge 0$ , with  $\ell^{\pi}$  restricted to take values in  $[0, \delta]$  uniformly in time.
- For q > 0 fixed, the objective is to consider the net present value (NPV) of the expected total costs

$$v_{\pi}(x) := \mathbb{E}_x \Big[ \int_0^\infty \mathrm{e}^{-qt} (h(U_t^{\pi}) + \beta \ell_t^{\pi}) \mathrm{d}t \Big].$$
 (1)

# Objectives

The state process is

$$U_t^{\pi} := X_t - L_t^{\pi}, \quad t \ge 0,$$

and the objective is to compute the (optimal) value function

$$v(x) := \inf_{\pi \in \Pi_{\delta}} v_{\pi}(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R},$$
(2)

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

as well as the optimal strategy that attains it, if such a strategy exists.

# Hypotheses

- 1. When X is of bounded variation, we assume that  $\tilde{\gamma} \delta > 0.$
- 2. We assume that there exists  $\bar{\theta} > 0$  such that  $\int_{(-\infty,-1]} \exp(\bar{\theta}|z|)\nu(\mathrm{d}z) < \infty.$
- 3. We assume h is convex and has at most polynomial growth in the tail. That is to say, there exist m, k > 0 and  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $h(x) \leq k|x|^N$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  such that |x| > m.

# Remarks and equivalent problem

The drift-changed Lévy process

$$Y_t := X_t - \delta t, \quad t \ge 0, \tag{3}$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

is the resulting controlled process if  $\ell^{\pi}$  is uniformly set to be the maximal value  $\delta$ , and is again a spectrally negative Lévy process.

The cost function v<sub>π</sub> as in (1) is well-defined and finite for all π ∈ Π<sub>δ</sub>.

# Remarks and equivalent problem

The drift-changed Lévy process

$$Y_t := X_t - \delta t, \quad t \ge 0, \tag{3}$$

is the resulting controlled process if  $\ell^{\pi}$  is uniformly set to be the maximal value  $\delta$ , and is again a spectrally negative Lévy process.

- The cost function v<sub>π</sub> as in (1) is well-defined and finite for all π ∈ Π<sub>δ</sub>.
- We can also consider a version of this problem where a linear drift is added to the increments of X (as opposed to be subtracted): one wants to minimize, for some β̃ ∈ ℝ, the NPV

$$\tilde{v}_{\pi}(x) := \mathbb{E}_x \Big[ \int_0^\infty e^{-qt} (h(X_t + L_t^{\pi}) + \tilde{\beta}\ell_t^{\pi}) dt \Big].$$

# Continuation...

▷ Claim: This problem is equivalent to the problem described above.

- We use Y as in (3) and set  $\tilde{L}_t^{\pi} := \delta t L_t^{\pi}$
- Then, we can write

$$\tilde{v}_{\pi}(x) = \mathbb{E}_{x} \left[ \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-qt} (h(Y_{t} - \tilde{L}_{t}^{\pi}) - \tilde{\beta}\tilde{\ell}_{t}^{\pi}) dt \right] + \frac{\tilde{\beta}\delta}{q}.$$

Hence it is equivalent to solving our problem for  $\beta:=-\tilde{\beta}$ 

# Problems in mind

- $X_t$  may represent the inventory level of some company.
- ► The objective of the company can be to maintain the inventory level around some target x̂.
- ► The running cost function h can be used to penalized the distance between X<sub>t</sub> and x̂.
- The inventory can be on commodity products, such as oil, coal, water, etc.
- Inventory of shares in a particular company held by a specialist who is responsible for trading in that company's shares. An impact of selling in the asset's price can also be included.

### Refraction strategies

Say  $\pi^b \in \Pi_{\delta}$ , under which the controlled process becomes the refracted Lévy process  $U^b = \{U_t^b; t \ge 0\}$ , with a suitable choice of the refraction boundary  $b \in \mathbb{R}$ . This is a strong Markov process given by the unique strong solution to the SDE

$$\mathrm{d}U_t^b = \mathrm{d}X_t - \delta \mathbf{1}_{\{U_t^b > b\}} \mathrm{d}t, \quad t \ge 0.$$

 $\triangleright U^b$  progresses like X below the boundary b while it does like Y above b.

 $\triangleright$  the total costs associated to  $\pi^b$  is

$$v_b(x) := \mathbb{E}_x \Big[ \int_0^\infty e^{-qt} (h(U_t^b) + \beta \delta \mathbf{1}_{\{U_t^b > b\}}) dt \Big], \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$
(4)

## Introduction to scale functions

 $\triangleright$  The NPV (4) can be expressed in terms of the scale functions of the two spectrally negative Lévy processes X and Y.

 $\triangleright$  We use  $W^{(q)}$  and  $W^{(q)}$  for the scale functions of X and Y, respectively.

 $\triangleright$  These are mappings from  $\mathbb{R}$  to  $[0,\infty)$  that take value zero on the negative half-line, while on the positive half-line they are strictly increasing functions that are defined by their Laplace transforms:

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\theta x} W^{(q)}(x) dx = \frac{1}{\psi(\theta) - q}, \quad \theta > \Phi(q),$$

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\theta x} \mathbb{W}^{(q)}(x) dx = \frac{1}{\psi(\theta) - \delta\theta - q}, \quad \theta > \varphi(q),$$
(5)

## Continuation...

where

$$\Phi(q):=\sup\{\lambda\geq 0:\psi(\lambda)=q\}$$

and

$$\varphi(q) := \sup\{\lambda \ge 0 : \psi(\lambda) - \delta\lambda = q\}.$$

 $\triangleright$  By the strict convexity of  $\psi,$  we derive the strict inequality  $\varphi(q) > \Phi(q) > 0.$ 

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

#### Resolvent measure

$$R_b(x,B) := q^{-1} \mathbb{P}_x \{ U_{e_q}^b \in B \} = \mathbb{E}_x \Big[ \int_0^\infty e^{-qt} \mathbf{1}_{\{U_t^b \in B\}} dt \Big], \quad B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$$

- -

admits a density

$$R_b(x, \mathrm{d}y) = (r_b^{(1)}(x, y) + r_b^{(2)}(x, y) \mathbf{1}_{\{x > b\}}) \mathrm{d}y, \quad y \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (6)$$

given in terms of the scale functions.

We can also write

$$v_b(x) = v_b^{(1)}(x) + v_b^{(2)}(x) \mathbf{1}_{\{x > b\}},$$
(7)

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

### First order condition

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial b}v_b(x) = u_b(x),\tag{8}$$

where

$$u_b(x) := \mathbb{E}_x \left[ \int_0^\infty e^{-qt} h'(U_t^b) dt \right] - v'_b(x), \quad x \neq b.$$

<u>Note</u>: The first-order condition  $\partial v_b(x)/\partial b|_{b=b^*} = 0$  is a necessary condition for the optimality of the refraction strategy  $\pi^{b^*}$ . Then, if such  $b^*$  exists,

$$v_{b^*}'(x) = \mathbb{E}_x\left[\int_0^\infty \mathrm{e}^{-qt} h'(U_t^{b^*}) \mathrm{d}t\right].$$

# Preliminary results

#### Proposition

For all  $x, b \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $x \neq b$ ,

$$u_b(x) = \left[\frac{\varphi(q) - \Phi(q)}{\delta \Phi(q)} e^{\Phi(q)(x-b)} + \mathbf{1}_{\{x>b\}} (M(x;b) - \mathbb{W}^{(q)}(x-b))\right]$$
  
I(b).

٠

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

**Example**: For the case  $h(y) := \alpha y^2$ ,  $y \in \mathbb{R}$ , for some  $\alpha > 0$ ,

$$b^* = \beta q / (2\alpha) + \mathbb{E}(-\underline{X}_{e_q}) - \varphi(q)^{-1}$$

## Continuation...

In this case,

$$I(b) = 2\alpha \frac{\varphi(q) - \Phi(q)}{\varphi(q)} \int_0^\infty (y+b) e^{-\varphi(q)y} dy + \delta \Big[ 2\alpha \int_{-\infty}^0 (y+b) \int_0^\infty e^{-\varphi(q)z} \Theta^{(q)}(z-y) dz dy - \beta \frac{\Phi(q)}{\varphi(q)} \Big].$$

#### Here,

$$\frac{\varphi(q) - \Phi(q)}{\varphi(q)} \int_0^\infty (y+b) \mathrm{e}^{-\varphi(q)y} \mathrm{d}y = \frac{\varphi(q) - \Phi(q)}{\varphi(q)} \Big(\frac{1}{\varphi(q)^2} + \frac{b}{\varphi(q)}\Big).$$

# Another example

For the case

 $h(y) := \alpha y, \ y \in \mathbb{R},$ 

for some  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R},$  we have  $b^* = -\infty$  when

 $\alpha/q > \beta$ 

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

and  $b^* = \infty$  otherwise.

Let  $\Gamma$  be the operator acting on sufficiently smooth functions f, defined by

$$\Gamma f(x) := \gamma f'(x) + \frac{\sigma^2}{2} f''(x) + \int_{(-\infty,0)} [f(x+z) - f(x) - f'(x)z\mathbf{1}_{\{-1 < z < 0\}}]\nu(\mathrm{d}z).$$

#### Lemma (Verification)

Suppose a strategy  $\hat{\pi} \in \Pi_{\delta}$  is such that  $v_{\hat{\pi}}$  is sufficiently smooth on  $\mathbb{R}$  and satisfies

$$\begin{cases} (\Gamma - q)v_{\hat{\pi}}(x) + h(x) \ge 0 & \text{if } v'_{\hat{\pi}}(x) \le \beta, \\ (\Gamma - q)v_{\hat{\pi}}(x) - \delta(v'_{\hat{\pi}}(x) - \beta) + h(x) \ge 0 & \text{if } v'_{\hat{\pi}}(x) > \beta. \end{cases}$$

$$(9)$$
Then  $\hat{\pi}$  is an optimal strategy and  $v(x) = v_{\hat{\pi}}(x)$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ .

# Applying the previous result

 $\triangleright$  It suffices to show that the function  $v_{b^*}$  is sufficiently smooth and satisfies (9).

 $\triangleright$  The function  $v_{b^*}$  is sufficiently smooth.

▷ The inequalities (9) for  $v_{\hat{\pi}} = v_{b^*}$  hold if and only if

$$\begin{cases} v'_{b^*}(x) \ge \beta & \text{if } x > b^*, \\ v'_{b^*}(x) \le \beta & \text{if } x \le b^*. \end{cases}$$
(10)

 $\triangleright$  The function  $v_{b^*}$  is convex.

 $\triangleright$  The function  $v_{b^*}$  satisfies (9).

# Main result

### Theorem

The strategy  $\pi^{b^*}$  is optimal and the value function is given by  $v(x) = v_{b^*}(x)$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ .

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

## Main result

#### Theorem

The strategy  $\pi^{b^*}$  is optimal and the value function is given by  $v(x) = v_{b^*}(x)$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ .

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Together with the analysis when  $\delta \to \infty$ .

#### Main result

#### Theorem

The strategy  $\pi^{b^*}$  is optimal and the value function is given by  $v(x) = v_{b^*}(x)$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Together with the analysis when  $\delta \to \infty$ . Recall that

$$\tilde{v}(x;\delta) := \inf_{\pi \in \Pi_{\delta}} \mathbb{E}_{x} \Big[ \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-qt} (h(Y_{t} + L_{t}^{\pi}) + \tilde{\beta}\ell_{t}^{\pi}) dt \Big]$$

$$= v(x;\delta, -\tilde{\beta}) + \frac{\tilde{\beta}\delta}{q},$$
(11)

where  $v(x; \delta, -\tilde{\beta})$  is the value function (2) obtained previously with  $X_t$  replaced with  $X_t^{(\delta)} := Y_t + \delta t$  and  $\beta$  with  $-\tilde{\beta}$ .

# Limit problem

▷ Let  $\Pi_{\infty}$  be the set of admissible strategies consisting of all right-continuous, nondecreasing and adapted processes  $L^{\pi}$  with  $L_{0-}^{\pi} = 0$ .

 $\triangleright$ 

$$\tilde{v}(x;\infty) := \inf_{\pi \in \Pi_{\infty}} \mathbb{E}_x \Big[ \int_{[0,\infty)} e^{-qt} (h(Y_t + L_t^{\pi}) dt + \tilde{\beta} dL_t^{\pi}) \Big]$$

 $\triangleright$  The infimum is attained by the reflected Lévy process  $Y_t + L_t^{b^*(\infty)}$  with

$$L_t^{b^*(\infty)} := \sup_{0 \le t' \le t} ((b^*(\infty)) - Y_{t'}) \lor 0, \quad t \ge 0.$$

# Continuation...

The lower boundary  $b^*(\infty)$  is defined as the unique root of  $I_\infty(b)=0$  where

$$I_{\infty}(b) := \int_{0}^{\infty} h'(y+b) \mathrm{e}^{-\varphi(q)y} \mathrm{d}y + \tilde{\beta} \frac{q}{\varphi(q)}, \quad b \in \mathbb{R}.$$
 (12)

# Continuation...

The lower boundary  $b^*(\infty)$  is defined as the unique root of  $I_\infty(b)=0$  where

$$I_{\infty}(b) := \int_{0}^{\infty} h'(y+b) \mathrm{e}^{-\varphi(q)y} \mathrm{d}y + \tilde{\beta} \frac{q}{\varphi(q)}, \quad b \in \mathbb{R}.$$
 (12)

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Summarizing: We show the convergences of  $b^*(\delta)$  to  $b^*(\infty)$ 

and  $\tilde{v}(x;\delta)$  to  $\tilde{v}(x;\infty)$  as  $\delta \uparrow \infty$ .

# Numerical results

We focus in the case  $h(x) = x^2$ , with q = .05 and for the size type distribution we approximate a Weibull random variable. Plots of  $v_b(x)$  for the cases  $\beta = 5$ . Each panel shows  $v_{b^*}(x)$  (solid) in comparison to  $v_b(x)$  (dotted) for different values of  $\beta$ 



## Continuation...

Plots of convergence as  $\delta \to \infty$ .



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

# Related work

- F. Avram, Z. Palmowski, and M. R. Pistorius. On the optimal dividend problem for a spectrally negative Lévy process. Ann. Appl. Probab. 2007.
- E. J. Baurdoux and K. Yamazaki. Optimality of doubly reflected Lévy processes in singular control. Stochastic Proc. Appl.,2015.
- A. E. Kyprianou and R. L. Loeffen. Refracted Lévy processes. Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré, 46(1):24,Äì44, 2010.
- D. Hernández-Hernández and K. Yamazaki. Games of singular control and stopping driven by spectrally one-sided Lévy processes. Stochastic Process. Appl. 2015.
- D. Hernández-Hernández, J.L. Pérez and K. Yamazaki. Optimality if refraction strategies for spectrally negative Lévy processes. SIAM J. Control Optim. 2016.

# Thank you for your attention

◆□ ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 • 의 Q @</p>